

Europäisches Patentamt  
European Patent Office  
Office européen des brevets



(11) **EP 0 780 966 B1**

(12) **EUROPEAN PATENT SPECIFICATION**

(45) Date of publication and mention  
of the grant of the patent:  
**15.03.2000 Bulletin 2000/11**

(51) Int Cl.7: **H02P 7/05**

(21) Application number: **96308566.7**

(22) Date of filing: **27.11.1996**

(54) **Sensorless rotor position monitoring in reluctance machines**

Sensorlose Rotorlageüberwachung in einer Reluktanzmaschine

Surveillance de la position du rotor dans une machine à réluctance

(84) Designated Contracting States:  
**DE ES FR GB IT NL SE**

(30) Priority: **19.12.1995 GB 9525952**

(43) Date of publication of application:  
**25.06.1997 Bulletin 1997/26**

(73) Proprietor: **SWITCHED RELUCTANCE DRIVES  
LIMITED**  
**Harrogate, North Yorkshire HG3 1PR (GB)**

(72) Inventor: **Watkins, Stephen James**  
**Leeds LS8 2RU (GB)**

(74) Representative: **Hale, Peter et al**  
**Kilburn & Strode**  
**20 Red Lion Street**  
**London WC1R 4PJ (GB)**

(56) References cited:  
**EP-A- 0 573 198**                      **US-A- 5 107 195**

- **POLLOCK C ET AL: "ACOUSTIC NOISE CANCELLATION TECHNIQUES FOR SWITCHED RELUCTANCE DRIVES" RECORD OF THE INDUSTRY APPLICATIONS CONFERENCE (IAS), ORLANDO, OCT. 8 - 12, 1995, vol. 1, 8 October 1995, INSTITUTE OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERS, pages 448-455, XP000550975**
- **LYONS J P ET AL: "FLUX/CURRENT METHODS FOR SRM ROTOR POSITION ESTIMATION" PROCEEDINGS OF THE INDUSTRY APPLICATIONS SOCIETY ANNUAL MEETING, DEARBORN, SEPT. 28 - OCT. 1, 1991, vol. 1, 1 January 1991, INSTITUTE OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERS, pages 482-487, XP000280196**

**EP 0 780 966 B1**

Note: Within nine months from the publication of the mention of the grant of the European patent, any person may give notice to the European Patent Office of opposition to the European patent granted. Notice of opposition shall be filed in a written reasoned statement. It shall not be deemed to have been filed until the opposition fee has been paid. (Art. 99(1) European Patent Convention).

**Description**

**[0001]** This invention relates to sensorless rotor position monitoring in reluctance machines, particularly switched reluctance machines.

**[0002]** The performance of a switched reluctance machine depends, in part, on the accurate timing of phase energisation with respect to rotor position. Detection of rotor position is conventionally achieved by using a transducer, such as a rotating toothed disk mounted on the machine rotor which co-operates with an optical or magnetic sensor mounted on the stator. A pulse train indicative of rotor position relative to the stator is fed back to control circuitry, allowing accurate phase energisation.

**[0003]** This system is simple and works well in many applications. However, the rotor position transducer increases the overall cost of assembly, adds extra electrical connections to the machine and is, therefore, a potential source of unreliability. A variety of methods for dispensing with the rotor position transducer has been proposed. These are reviewed in "Sensorless Methods for Determining the Rotor Position of Switched Reluctance Motors" by W F Ray and I H Al-Bahadly, published in the Proceedings of The European Power Electronics Conference, Brighton, UK, 1993, Vol. 6, pp 7-13.

**[0004]** Many methods proposed for rotor position estimation use the measurement of phase flux-linkage (i.e. the integral of applied voltage with respect to time) and current in one or more phases. Position is calculated using knowledge of the variation in inductance of the machine as a function of angle and current. This characteristic can be stored as a flux-linkage/angle/current table and is depicted graphically in Figure 1. The storage of this data involves the use of a large memory array and/or additional system overheads for interpolation of data between stored points.

**[0005]** Some methods make use of this data at low speeds where "chopping" current control is the dominant control strategy for varying the developed torque. Chopping control is illustrated graphically in Figure 2 in which the current and inductance waveforms are shown over a phase inductance period. These methods usually employ diagnostic energisation pulses in non-torque-productive phases (i.e. those phases which are not energised directly from the power supply at a particular moment).

**[0006]** Other methods operate in the "single-pulse" mode of energisation at higher speeds. This mode is illustrated in Figure 3 in which the current and inductance waveforms are shown over a phase inductance period. These methods monitor the operating voltages and currents of an active phase without interfering with normal operation.

**[0007]** The control and operation of switched reluctance machines generally are described in the paper "The Characteristics, Design and Applications of Switched Reluctance Motors and Drives" by J M Stephenson and R J Blake delivered at the PCIM '93 Conference and Exhibition held in Nurnberg, Germany between 21 and 24 June 1993. In that paper the "chopping" and "single-pulse" modes of energisation of switched reluctance machines are described.

**[0008]** A method suited to low-speed operation is that proposed by N M Mvungi and J M Stephenson in "Accurate Sensorless Rotor Position Detection in an S R Motor", published in Proceedings of the European Power Electronics Conference, Firenze, Italy, 1991, Vol.1, pp 390-393. A typical higher speed method is described in International Patent Application WO 91/02401.

**[0009]** Having to store a two-dimensional array of machine data is an obvious disadvantage and so alternative methods have been proposed which avoid the need for the majority of angularly referenced information and instead store data at one angle only. Such a method is described in European Patent Application EP-A-0573198. This method aims to sense the phase flux-linkage and current at a predefined angle by adjusting the diagnostic point via the calculated deviation away from the desired point. Two one-dimensional tables are stored in the preferred embodiment, one of flux-linkage versus current at a referenced rotor angle (see Figure 4) and another of the differential of flux-linkage with respect to rotor angle versus current. By monitoring phase voltage and current, the deviation away from a predicted angle can be assessed, with the aid of the look-up tables, and system operation can be adjusted accordingly. However, such methods, although reducing the amount of information which has to be stored, still have to detect or compute the flux-linkage at a specific rotor angle.

**[0010]** It is an object of the present invention to provide a generally applicable way of monitoring rotor position without using a rotor position transducer while also reducing the amount of stored data.

**[0011]** The present invention is defined in the accompanying independent claims 1, 10 and 13. Preferred features are recited in the dependent claims.

**[0012]** The invention uses phase current freewheeling over a portion of the rotor angular movement, during which the rate of change of current is monitored. This monitoring may be carried out in any part of the phase inductance cycle. However, one particularly advantageous interval is at or near the fully aligned position of the rotor and stator poles.

**[0013]** The skilled person will be aware that the phase inductance cycle is the period of the variation of inductance for the or each phase of a switched reluctance machine, for example between maxima when the rotor poles and the relevant respective stator poles are fully aligned.

**[0014]** The skilled person will be aware of the concept of freewheeling in a switched reluctance machine. It is described in the PCIM '93 paper by Stephenson and Blake referred to above. Briefly, freewheeling is when the voltage

across a phase winding of a switched reluctance machine is set to zero for a period of time by effectively providing a short-circuit path across the ends of the winding. The current circulates around the winding and the flux is virtually constant, since the only voltage present to change it is the voltage drop across the winding and the switching devices. The concept of freewheeling should not be confused with coasting in which there is no current in the winding and the rotation of the rotor relies only on its own momentum.

**[0015]** The present invention can be put into practise in various ways some of which will now be described by way of example with reference to the accompanying drawings in which:

Figure 1 is a graphical representation of the two-dimensional stored table of flux-linkage/angle/current data used in prior art current monitoring systems;

Figure 2 shows a typical low-speed mode chopping current waveform superimposed on the phase inductance profile;

Figure 3 shows a typical high-speed mode single-pulse current waveform superimposed on the phase inductance profile;

Figure 4 is a graph of a single dimension of stored data according to another prior art system;

Figure 5 shows an asymmetric half-bridge switch circuit for one phase of a switched reluctance machine;

Figure 6 shows a typical variation of change in current with respect to rotor angle for a fixed freewheel period for varying flux-linkage levels;

Figure 7 demonstrates a 2° period of freewheeling for a switched reluctance machine about the fully aligned position of a rotor pole with respect to a stator pole, and the resulting variation of flux-linkage and current;

Figure 8 shows a schematic diagram illustrating a control circuit incorporating the present invention; and

Figure 9 shows a schematic diagram of further detail of a control system incorporating the present invention.

**[0016]** In a switched reluctance machine, the relationship between flux-linkage  $\psi$  and the current  $i$  can be expressed by the equation

$$\psi = i \cdot L \tag{1}$$

where the inductance L is a function of angle  $\theta$  and current  $i$ .

**[0017]** Differentiating equation (1) with respect to  $\theta$ , the following relationship is obtained

$$\frac{d\psi}{d\theta} = \frac{di}{d\theta} \cdot L + \frac{dL}{d\theta} \cdot i \tag{2}$$

**[0018]** Equation (2) can be rearranged to give the following equation

$$\frac{di}{d\theta} = \frac{1}{L} \cdot \left[ \frac{d\psi}{d\theta} - \frac{dL}{d\theta} \cdot i \right] \dots \tag{3}$$

**[0019]** In freewheeling mode, the voltage across the phase winding will be low and  $\frac{d\psi}{d\theta} \approx 0$ , therefore equation (3) can be approximated to the equation

$$\frac{di}{d\theta} \approx - \frac{dL}{d\theta} \cdot \frac{i}{L} \tag{4}$$

[0020] For a fixed small angle  $\Delta\theta$  the following relationship is applicable:

$$\Delta i \propto -\Delta L \quad (5)$$

where the constant of proportionality is  $\frac{i}{L}$ .

[0021] It follows from equation (5) that, if the change of current ( $\Delta i$ ) can be measured with suitable accuracy, this will give an indication of the change of inductance of the phase during the interval of measurement. If the relationship between the rate of change of inductance and the rotor position is already known, this allows a calculation of rotor position to be made from the measurement of  $\Delta i$ . The simplest method of implementing this is to use a look-up table of  $\Delta L$  against rotor position. However, since in general the inductance is current dependent, this requires a table of  $\Delta L$  as a function of current.

[0022] According to the invention in its preferred form, use is made of the fact that, at the fully aligned position of a rotor pole with respect to a stator pole, the rate of change of inductance with angle is essentially zero irrespective of the level of phase current. Thus, according to equation (5), the rate of change of phase current will be zero when the freewheel period is positioned around this fully aligned position. It therefore follows that, if during the monitoring interval  $\Delta i$  is discovered to be zero, the rotor position was centred around the aligned position during the measurement interval. This allows operation of the machine by predicting when the rotor will pass through the aligned position and freewheeling around that interval. If the prediction is correct, then  $\Delta i$  will be zero during the freewheel period. If, however, there is an angular error in the prediction, then the sign and the magnitude of rate of change of current will indicate the angular error and its direction and, therefore, the correction required for the next cycle.

[0023] In practise, there is a finite reduction in flux-linkage  $\psi$  over the measurement interval and so the position at which there is no change in current will be slightly offset from the fully aligned position. This can be determined from equation (3) and is shown graphically by the set of curves in Figure 6 for a typical switched reluctance motor. In the graph the actual position of zero rate of change of current is about  $2\frac{1}{2}^\circ$  before the fully aligned position of the rotor with respect to the stator pole.

[0024] Figure 5 shows a conventional, asymmetric half-bridge converter circuit for a switched reluctance machine. A phase winding W is serially connected between an upper power switch S1 and a diode D1. The same winding W is similarly serially connected between a lower power switch S2 and its diode D2. By way of example of the freewheeling referred to above, if the circuit of Figure 5 is used, current flowing through the winding W as a consequence of closing the switches S1 and S2 can be made to freewheel around the loop of S2 and D2 by opening S1.

[0025] The method of the invention will not interfere to any substantial extent with the machine's operation because the required monitoring interval is short. In any case, it is beneficial to have a period of freewheeling in most known switched reluctance systems, for reasons associated with noise control and optimum efficiency of operation. It follows that this invention can be implemented in either the chopping or single-pulse modes of operation where it is possible for a freewheeling interval to be used. The invention could equally well be used in a continuous current mode of operation of a switched reluctance machine as is described in EP-A-0534761.

[0026] The method of the invention gives timing information which is similar to that of a standard rotor position transducer, i.e. an indication of rotor/stator alignment is available once per phase inductance cycle.

[0027] Figure 7 illustrates the effect on the flux-linkage and current of a  $2^\circ$  freewheel period positioned adjacent the fully aligned position in a typical switched reluctance drive. As can be seen, both current and flux remain substantially constant for the duration of the freewheel interval which, in this case, is between  $88^\circ$  and  $90^\circ$ , where  $90^\circ$  indicates alignment between the rotor and stator poles.

[0028] Figure 8 illustrates a drive system for a switched reluctance motor. The system is shown in schematic block diagram form. In this embodiment, the speed controller 10 receives a speed demand signal. This is compared with a feedback signal on line 12 which will be described below. The output of the speed controller 10 is a comparison of the demanded and actual speed signals (i.e. an error signal) and is supplied as the actuating input to a firing controller 14.

[0029] As described above, there are various modes of operating a switched reluctance machine. In each mode of operation, a monitoring interval can be introduced, during which the current is allowed to freewheel.

[0030] The output of the speed controller 10 is the demand signal for the switch firing controller 14 that is conventional in the art in as much as it controls actuation of the switches of a power converter 16 according to the demand and the mode of control for the speed of the motor. The speed and firing controllers 10 and 14 can be implemented around a Motorola 68HC11 microcontroller, according to known art. The firing controller 14 output is modified according to the invention to include, in each phase inductance cycle, the regular monitoring commands for the switches of the converter 16.

[0031] The output of the firing controller is used to control actuation of the switches of the power converter 16, which can be of the type shown in Figure 5, for each phase of the machine.

[0032] Phase current sensing is performed via a conventional current sensor 20 eg. a flux-nulling sensor as manu-

factured by LEM HEME Ltd of Skelmersdale, Lancashire, UK or by measuring the voltage developed across a series resistor. In this invention it is the freewheel current that the sensor is required to monitor. Thus, the sensor must be located in an appropriate place where the freewheel current will exist in a reliable and accessible form, eg. at either end of winding W. Alternatively, the current could be monitored either at the diode D2 or the switch S2 used in the freewheel operation. The position at or near the switch S2 is preferred, as it is usually more accessible in practical implementations of switched reluctance machines.

**[0033]** If, however, it is required (for other control reasons) to cause the recirculating current to flow in either half of the half-bridge converter of Figure 5, the sensor needs to be placed adjacent the phase winding W.

**[0034]** Whereas current is monitored in the phase winding, the rate of change of current over a period must be measured for a meaningful indication of rotor position. The output of the sensor 20 indicative of current magnitude is applied to a current change detector 22. This is preferably implemented in the microprocessor which is used to implement the speed control and firing control functions described above. The implementation would, in principle, store a first value of current after freewheeling has commenced and store a second value of current near the end of the freewheeling interval. The rate of change of current is then computed by taking the difference of those current values and dividing by the time interval between them. In practice, it may be beneficial to take the first reading immediately before freewheeling commences, so as to avoid any transient disturbance in the current value caused by the switching action. The output of the detector 22 is a voltage indicative of the rate of change of current with respect to time over the monitoring interval. This is the basis of the indication of the position of the rotor relative to the stator. If the previous prediction of rotor position was correct, the output of the detector will be indicative of substantially zero current change during the monitoring interval.

**[0035]** The output of a detector 22 is applied to a timing predictor 24 that is responsive to the actual rate of change of current derived from the monitored current. For a given speed, the timing predictor 24 is able to predict the moment of alignment of the next phase of the machine, i.e. from a corrected assessment of rotor position in one phase, a prediction is made by the predictor for the next incidence of zero current change in the next phase to be energised.

**[0036]** The firing controller 16 includes a free-running timer which is used to set the angular position of the switch firing events. By deriving information from the rotor position data the timer is reset for each phase according to the firing control strategy which is part of the known principles of switched reluctance motor control. For a given speed, the timing predictor 24 makes a prediction of the time at which the rotor will be aligned with the stator. It is then able to decide the times during which the power converter should be put into the freewheeling mode, these times being selected so that the monitoring interval is centred around the predicted point of alignment. When the start of the monitoring interval arrives, the firing controller 14 actuates the current change detector 22 to read the output of the sensor 20 and the rate of change of current in the freewheeling mode is then calculated for the monitoring interval. At the end of the imposed freewheeling interval, the firing controller resumes conventional control of the motor, based on speed demand and the error signal.

**[0037]** If the rate of change of current assessed by the detector 22 is substantially zero, the timing predictor has no need to adjust its ongoing estimates of the aligned position for each phase. If the detector indicates a rate of change of current of negative slope and a particular magnitude, the predicted position of alignment was too early by an amount related to the magnitude of the rate of change of current. If the slope is positive, the predicted position of alignment was too late. The variation in change of current about zero can, therefore, be used as a simple linear scaling for correcting the estimate of the time at which alignment will occur.

**[0038]** For successful operation, this method requires the machine to be rotating and have current in at least one phase winding. At standstill, this method cannot be directly applied, but other known methods of starting the machine can be used, eg. open-loop stepping, diagnostic pulses, etc, all as known to those familiar with the art.

**[0039]** Figure 9 illustrates an alternative implementation of the current change detector 22 using analogue components; the firing controller 14; and the half of the power converter 16 used for freewheeling constituted by the switch S2 and the diode D2. In this embodiment the freewheeling current is derived from the voltage developed across a small resistor 28, eg.  $<10\Omega$ , in series with the switch of the freewheeling circuit. The voltage across the resistor is directly proportional to the current in the winding and is monitored by first and second sample-and-hold circuits 30 and 32 providing outputs to a summing junction 34. The difference between the sample-and-hold outputs is scaled in a scaler 36 and applied to the timing predictor 24. The first sample-and-hold circuit is actuated by the timing predictor 24 at the start of the chosen freewheel interval. The second sample-and-hold circuit is actuated at the end of the monitoring interval.

**[0040]** The above examples address the operation of the invention when the switched reluctance machine is arranged to run as a motor. The present invention can also be used in the same way when the switched reluctance machine is running as a generator to equal effect. Of course, while the invention is described in relation to rotary switched reluctance machines, the skilled person will be aware that a switched reluctance machine can be constructed as a linear motor. The moving member of a linear motor is referred to in the art as a rotor. The term "rotor" used here is intended to embrace the moving member of a linear motor as well.

[0041] Accordingly, while the invention has been described in connection with the illustrated embodiments discussed above, those skilled in the art will recognise that many variations may be made without departing from the present invention. The above description of the several embodiments is made by way of example and not for purposes of limitation. The present invention is intended to be limited only by the scope of the following claims.

5

**Claims**

10

1. A method of monitoring rotor position in a reluctance machine comprising a stator, a rotor, which is moveable relative to the stator, and at least one phase winding mounted on the stator, the method comprising:

15

moving the rotor relative to the stator;  
 energising the phase winding by actuating switch means to control the current in the winding;  
 freewheeling in each phase inductance cycle so that current in the winding recirculates for an interval at an estimate of a predetermined position of the rotor at which the rate of change of phase current is at a predicted magnitude;  
 monitoring the phase current during freewheeling;  
 comparing the monitored rate of change of phase current with that predicted at the predetermined position; and  
 deriving the position of the rotor relative to the predetermined position from the difference between the actual and predicted rates of change of phase current.

20

2. A method as claimed in claim 1 in which the interval is coincident with a period of substantially no change in current as the rotor moves.

25

3. A method as claimed in claim 1 or 2, including sampling the current at or near the start and at or near the end of the freewheeling interval.

30

4. A method as claimed in claim 3 including calculating the rate of change of current from the change of current over the period of sampling.

40

5. A method as claimed in claim 1, 2, 3 or 4 in which the extent of the interval is inversely dependent on the speed of movement of the rotor.

35

6. A method as claimed in any of claims 1 to 5 in which the difference between the actual and predicted rates of change of current is used to modify the estimate for a subsequent phase cycle.

7. A method as claimed in claim 6 in which the machine is a multi-phase machine having a phase energisation sequence, the difference between the actual and predicted rates being used to modify the estimate for the next phase in the sequence.

45

8. A method as claimed in any of claims 1 to 7, including monitoring the freewheeling current at the switch means carrying the freewheeling current.

9. A method as claimed in any of claims 1 to 7, including monitoring the freewheeling current at the phase winding.

50

10. A rotor position indicator for a reluctance machine having a rotor, a stator and at least one phase winding, the indicator comprising:

55

a current monitoring device (22) for deriving a signal indicative of phase current in the phase winding;  
 switch means (S<sub>1</sub>, S<sub>2</sub>) actuatable to configure a freewheel circuit in which current recirculates through the winding;  
 timing means (24) for actuating the switch means to configure the freewheel circuit for an interval coincident with a predicted range of rotor position, and for sampling (30,32) the phase current to measure the rate of change of current in the interval;  
 comparator means (34) for comparing the predicted rate of change of phase current with the measured rate of change to derive a difference value indicative of the difference between the actual rotor position and the predicted rotor position.

11. An indicator as claimed in claim 10 in which the timing means are responsive to a signal indicative of the speed of movement of the rotor to vary the period in inverse relation thereto.
- 5 12. An indicator as claimed in claim 11 in which the timing means are responsive to the difference value to adjust the actuation of the switch means in a subsequent phase cycle.
- 10 13. A system for monitoring rotor position in a reluctance machine, comprising a rotor, a stator, at least one phase winding, switch means ( $S_1, S_2$ ) operable to configure the phase winding in a freewheel circuit in which a freewheel current recirculates in the winding, a current monitoring device (22) for deriving a signal indicative of freewheel current, timing means (24) for actuating the switch means into the freewheel configuration for an interval at an estimate of a predicted position of the rotor at which the rate of change of current has a predicted value, means for deriving a measurement of the rate of change of current from the monitoring means in the interval, and means for calculating the position of the rotor relative to the predetermined position from a comparison of the actual and predicted rates of change of current.
- 15 14. A system as claimed in claim 13 in which the interval is arranged to be coincident with a period of substantially no change in current.
- 20 15. A system as claimed in claim 13 or 14 in which the means for deriving a measurement of the rate of change of current include sampling means for sampling (30,32) the current at or near the start and at or near the end of the interval.
- 25 16. A system as claimed in any of claims 13 to 15 in which the timing means (24) are operable to modify the estimate for a subsequent phase cycle in response to the difference between the actual and predicted rates of change of current.
- 30 17. A system as claimed in claim 16 in which the machine is a multi-phase machine having a phase sequence wherein the timing means (24) are operable to modify the estimate for the next phase in the sequence in response to the difference between the actual and predicted rates.

### Patentansprüche

- 35 1. Verfahren zum Überwachen der Rotorposition in einer Reluktanzmaschine, die einen Stator, einen Rotor, der relativ zu dem Stator beweglich ist, und wenigstens eine Phasenwicklung, die auf dem Stator angeordnet ist, umfasst, wobei das Verfahren umfasst:
- Bewegen des Rotors relativ zu dem Stator;
  - 40 - Erregen der Phasenwicklung durch Betätigen einer Schalteinrichtung, um den Strom in der Wicklung zu steuern;
  - Freilauf in jedem Phaseninduktionszyklus, so dass Strom in der Wicklung für ein Intervall mit einem Schätzwert einer vorbestimmten Position des Rotors, bei dem die Veränderungsrate des Phasenstroms eine vorhergesagte Größe aufweist, umläuft;
  - 45 - Überwachen des Phasenstroms während des Freilaufs;
  - Vergleichen der überwachten Veränderungsrate des Phasenstroms mit der vorhergesagten bei der vorbestimmten Position; und
  - 50 - Herleiten der Position des Rotors relativ zu der vorbestimmten Position aus der Differenz zwischen den tatsächlichen und vorbestimmten Veränderungsraten des Phasenstroms.
- 55 2. Verfahren nach Anspruch 1, bei welchem das Intervall mit einer Periode von im wesentlichen keinem Wechsel des Stroms übereinstimmt, wenn sich der Rotor bewegt.
3. Verfahren nach Anspruch 1 oder 2, welches das Abtasten des Stromes bei oder nahe bei Beginn und am oder

nahe am Ende des Freilauf-Intervalls umfasst.

- 5
4. Verfahren nach Anspruch 3, das die Berechnung der Veränderungsrate des Stromes aus der Veränderung des Stromes über die Abtastperiode umfasst.
- 10
5. Verfahren nach Anspruch 1, 2, 3 oder 4, bei welchem die Ausdehnung des Intervalls umgekehrt abhängig ist von der Geschwindigkeit der Bewegung des Rotors.
- 15
6. Verfahren nach einem der Ansprüche 1 bis 5, bei welchem die Differenz zwischen den tatsächlichen und vorhergesagten Veränderungsdaten des Stromes verwendet wird, um den Schätzwert für einen nachfolgenden Phasenzyklus zu modifizieren.
- 20
7. Verfahren nach Anspruch 6, bei welchem die Maschine eine Mehr-Phasen-Maschine ist, die eine Phasenerreignissequenz aufweist, wobei die Differenz zwischen den tatsächlichen und vorhergesagten Raten verwendet wird, um den Schätzwert für die nächste Phase in der Sequenz zu modifizieren.
- 25
8. Verfahren nach einem der Ansprüche 1 bis 7, welches das Überwachen des Freilaufstroms an der Schalteinrichtung umfasst, die den Freilaufstrom überträgt.
- 30
9. Verfahren nach einem der Ansprüche 1 bis 7, welches das Überwachen des Freilaufstromes an der Phasenwicklung umfasst.
- 35
10. Rotor Positions-Anzeigeeinrichtung für eine Reluktanzmaschine mit einem Rotor, einem Stator und wenigstens einer Phasenwicklung, wobei die Anzeigevorrichtung umfasst:
- Eine Stromüberwachungsvorrichtung (22) zum Herleiten eines Signals, das den Phasenstrom in der Phasenwicklung anzeigt;
  - Eine Schaltvorrichtung (S1, S2), die betätigbar ist, um einen Freilaufkreis zu konfigurieren, in welchem der Strom durch die Wicklung umläuft;
  - Eine Zeitgebereinrichtung (24) zum Betätigen der Schaltvorrichtung, um den Freilaufkreis zu konfigurieren, und zwar für ein Intervall, das mit einem vorhergesagten Bereich der Rotorposition übereinstimmt, und zum Abtasten (30, 32) des Phasenstroms, um die Veränderungsrate des Stroms in dem Intervall zu messen;
  - Eine Komparatoreinrichtung (34) zum Vergleichen der vorhergesagten Veränderungsrate des Phasenstroms mit der gemessenen Veränderungsrate, um einen Differenzwert herzuleiten, der die Differenz zwischen der tatsächlichen Rotorposition und der vorhergesagten Rotorposition anzeigt.
- 40
11. Anzeigevorrichtung nach Anspruch 10, bei welcher die Zeitgebereinrichtung auf ein Signal reagiert, das die Geschwindigkeit der Rotorbewegung anzeigt, um die Periode im inversen Verhältnis dazu zu variieren.
- 45
12. Anzeigevorrichtung nach Anspruch 11, bei welcher die Zeitgebereinrichtung auf den Differenzwert reagiert, um das Betätigen der Schalteinrichtung in einem nachfolgenden Phasenzyklus anzupassen.
- 50
13. System zum Überwachen der Rotorposition in einer Reluktanzmaschine, umfassend einen Rotor, einen Stator, wenigstens eine Phasenwicklung, eine Schalteinrichtung (S1, S2), die betätigbar ist, um die Phasenwicklung in einem Freilaufkreis zu konfigurieren, in welchem ein Freilaufstrom in der Wicklung umläuft, eine Stromüberwachungsvorrichtung (22) zum Herleiten eines Signals, das den Freilaufstrom anzeigt, eine Zeitgebereinrichtung (24) zum Betätigen der Schaltvorrichtung in die Freilaufkonfiguration für ein Intervall bei einem Schätzwert der vorhergesagten Rotorposition, bei welcher die Veränderungsrate des Stroms einen vorhergesagten Wert aufweist, eine Einrichtung zum Herleiten einer Messung der Veränderungsrate des Stroms von der Überwachungseinrichtung in dem Intervall, und einer Einrichtung zum Berechnen der Rotorposition relativ zu der vorbestimmten Position aus einem Vergleich der tatsächlichen und vorhergesagten Veränderungsdaten des Stroms.
- 55
14. System nach Anspruch 13, bei welchem das Intervall angeordnet ist, um mit einer Periode von im wesentlichen keiner Veränderung im Strom übereinzustimmen.



15. System nach Anspruch 13 oder 14, bei welchem die Einrichtung zum Herleiten einer Messung der Veränderungsrate des Stroms eine Abtasteinrichtung zum Abtasten (30, 32) des Stroms bei oder nahe bei Beginn und am oder nahe am Ende des Intervalls umfasst.
- 5 16. System nach einem der Ansprüche 13 bis 15, bei welchem die Zeitgebereinrichtung (24) betrieben werden kann, um den Schätzwert für einen nachfolgenden Phasenzklus in Antwort auf die Differenz zwischen den tatsächlichen und vorhergesagten Veränderungsdaten des Stromes zu modifizieren.
- 10 17. System nach Anspruch 16, bei welchem die Maschine eine Mehr-Phasen-Maschine ist, die eine Phasensequenz aufweist, bei welcher die Zeitgebereinrichtung (24) betrieben werden kann, um den Schätzwert für die nächste Phase in der Sequenz in Antwort auf die Differenz zwischen den tatsächlichen und vorhergesagten Raten zu modifizieren.

15 **Revendications**

1. Procédé de contrôle de la position d'un rotor dans une machine à réductance comprenant un stator, un rotor, mobile par rapport au stator, et au moins un bobinage de phase monté sur le stator, procédé comprenant :
- 20 - le déplacement du rotor par rapport au stator ;
- l'excitation du bobinage de phase par actionnement d'un moyen de commutateur pour commander le courant dans le bobinage ;
- 25 - la marche en roue libre lors de chaque cycle d'induction de phase de telle façon que le courant dans le bobinage recircule pendant un intervalle sur une estimation d'une position prédéterminée du rotor sur laquelle la vitesse de variation du courant de phase se trouve sur une amplitude prédite ;
- 30 - le contrôle du courant de phase lors de la marche en roue libre ;
- la comparaison de la vitesse contrôlée de variation du courant de phase avec celle prédite sur la position prédéterminée ; et
- 35 - la déduction de la position du rotor par rapport à la position prédéterminée à partir de la différence entre les vitesses réelle et prédite de variation du courant de phase.
2. Procédé selon la revendication 1, selon lequel l'intervalle coïncide avec une période de variation sensiblement nulle du courant pendant le déplacement du rotor.
- 40 3. Procédé selon la revendication 1 ou 2, comprenant l'échantillonnage du courant sur ou près du début et sur ou près de la fin de l'intervalle de marche en roue libre.
4. Procédé selon la revendication 3, comprenant le calcul de la vitesse de variation du courant à partir de la variation du courant sur la période d'échantillonnage.
- 45 5. Procédé selon la revendication 1, 2, 3 ou 4, selon lequel l'étendue de l'intervalle dépend de façon inverse de la vitesse de déplacement du rotor.
6. Procédé selon l'une quelconque des revendications 1 à 5, selon lequel la différence entre les vitesses réelle et prédite de variation du courant est utilisée pour modifier l'estimation pendant un cycle de phase suivant.
- 50 7. Procédé selon la revendication 6, selon lequel la machine est une machine à plusieurs phases possédant une séquence d'excitation de phase, la différence entre les vitesses réelle et prédite étant utilisée pour modifier l'estimation pour la phase suivante de la séquence.
- 55 8. Procédé selon l'une quelconque des revendications 1 à 7, comprenant le contrôle du courant de marche en roue libre sur le moyen de commutation transportant le courant de marche en roue libre.

9. Procédé selon l'une quelconque des revendications 1 à 7, comprenant le contrôle du courant de marche en roue libre sur le bobinage de phase.
- 5 10. Indicateur de position de rotor pour une machine à réluctance possédant un rotor, un stator et au moins un bobinage de phase, indicateur comprenant :
- un dispositif de contrôle du courant (22) pour déduire un signal indiquant un courant de phase dans le bobinage de phase ;
  - 10 - des moyens de commutateur (S1, S2) pouvant être actionnés pour configurer un circuit de marche en roue libre dans lequel le courant recircule à travers le bobinage ;
  - un moyen de calage dans le temps (24) pour actionner le moyen de commutateur afin de configurer le circuit de marche en roue libre pendant un intervalle coïncidant avec un intervalle prédit de positions du rotor et pour l'échantillonnage (30, 32) du courant de phase afin de mesurer la vitesse de variation du courant dans l'intervalle ; et
  - 15 - un moyen de comparateur (34) pour comparer la vitesse prédite de variation du courant de phase avec la vitesse mesurée de variation afin d'en déduire une valeur de différence indiquant la différence entre la position réelle du rotor et la position prédite du rotor.
- 20 11. Indicateur selon la revendication 10, dans lequel le moyen de calage dans le temps reçoit un signal indiquant la vitesse de déplacement du rotor afin de faire varier la période selon une relation inverse à celle-ci.
- 25 12. Indicateur selon la revendication 11, dans lequel le moyen de calage dans le temps reçoit la valeur de différence afin de régler l'actionnement du moyen de commutateur lors d'un cycle suivant de phase.
- 30 13. Système pour contrôler la position d'un rotor dans une machine à réluctance, comprenant un rotor, un stator, au moins un bobinage de phase, des moyens de commutateur (S1, S2) prévus pour configurer le bobinage de phase dans un circuit de marche en roue libre dans lequel un courant de marche en roue libre recircule dans le bobinage, un dispositif de contrôle du courant (22) pour déduire un signal indiquant un courant de marche en roue libre, un moyen de calage dans le temps (24) pour actionner les moyens de commutateurs dans la configuration de marche en roue libre pendant un intervalle selon une estimation d'une position prédite du rotor sur laquelle la vitesse de variation du courant présente une valeur prédite, un moyen pour déduire une mesure de la vitesse de variation du courant à partir du moyen de contrôle dans l'intervalle, et un moyen pour calculer la position du rotor par rapport à la position prédéterminée à partir d'une comparaison des vitesses réelle et prédite de variation du courant.
- 35 14. Système selon la revendication 13, dans lequel l'intervalle est prévu pour coïncider avec une période de variation sensiblement nulle du courant.
- 40 15. Système selon la revendication 13 ou 14, dans lequel le moyen pour déduire une mesure de la vitesse de variation du courant comprend un moyen d'échantillonnage pour l'échantillonnage (30, 32) du courant sur ou près du début et sur ou près de la fin de l'intervalle.
- 45 16. Système selon l'une quelconque des revendications 13 à 15, dans lequel le moyen de calage dans le temps (24) est prévu pour modifier l'estimation pendant un cycle de phase suivant en réponse à la différence entre les vitesses réelle et prédite de variation du courant.
- 50 17. Système selon la revendication 16, dans lequel la machine est une machine à plusieurs phases possédant une séquence de phases selon laquelle le moyen de calage dans le temps (24) est prévu pour modifier l'estimation pour la phase suivante de la séquence en réponse à la différence entre les vitesses réelle et prédite.
- 55




Fig. 1  
Prior Art




Fig. 2

Prior Art




Fig.3

Prior Art





Fig. 4

Prior Art



**FIG 5**  
Prior Art




Fig. 6

Prior Art






Fig. 7

Prior Art




Fig 8




FIG 9